

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,620	02/13/2002	Pamela A. Green	•	7365
26874 7	2590 07/07/2003		•	
FROST BROWN TODD, LLC			EXAMINER	
2200 PNC CENTER 201 E. FIFTH STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202			LI, BAO Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/074,620	GREEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bao Qun Li	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on May	<u>08, 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
·· _	r					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	A) [] Intention Common	ov (PTO-413) Paper No/e)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
LS Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/074,620

Art Unit: 1648

O.

DETAILED ACTION

The response to Office Action on Election/Restrictions, paper No. 9, filed on 05/08/2003 has been acknowledged. The previous Office Action on restriction/Election is vacated due to inadvertence of missing classification of each restricted groups of invention. Office Apologized to the inventors for any inconvenience brought by this negligence. A new Office Action on *Election/Restrictions* follows.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-5, drawn to a composition or primer for detecting Epstein-Bar (EB) virus, classified in class 536, subclass 24.33.

Upon election of Group I, Applicant is additionally required to elect one pair of primer sequences selected from (a) SEQ ID NO: 1 and 2 or (b) SEQ ID NO: 5-6 to be examined on the merits. This requirement is not to be construed as a requirement for an election of species, since each pair of primer is not a member of a single genus of invention, but constitute an independent and patentably distinct invention.

II. Claim 6, drawn to a method of detecting the presence of EB virus DNA in the sample comprising contacting the sample with a hybridization probe, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

Upon election of Group II, Applicant is additionally required to elect one single probe sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NOs: 3, 4, 7 and 8 to be examined on the merits. This requirement is not to be construed as a requirement for an election of species, since each probe is not a member of a single genus of invention, but constitute an <u>independent and patentably distinct invention</u>.

III. Claims 7-25, drawn to another method for amplification of the EB virus with a pair of primers, and further contacting the sample with one hybridization probe, classified in class 435, subclass 91.1.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,620

Art Unit: 1648

ورن

IV. Claims 26-30, drawn to a method of selecting an appropriate dosage or type of antiviral agent for treating an infection caused by EB virus, classified in class X435, subclass 173.1.

- V. Claims 31-32, drawn to a method for the simultaneously amplification and detection of EB virus DNA in sample, classified in class 435, subclass 7.9.
- VI. Claims 33-39, drawn to a kit for detecting EB virus, classified in class 435, subclass 975.

Upon election of Group III-VI, Applicant is additionally required to one pair of primer from (a) SEQ ID NO: 1 and 2 or (b) SEQ ID NO: 5 and 6, and elect one single probe sequence selected from Group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 3, 4, 7 and 8 to be examined on the merits. This requirement is not to be construed as a requirement for an election of species, since each probe is not a member of a single genus of invention, but constitute an independent and patentably distinct invention.

- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- 3. Species A: (1). PCR, (2). LCR, (3). SDA, (4). QβRA, (5). 3SR, and (6). NASBA. Currently, claims 9-20 and 26 are generic.
- 4. Species B: (i). Thermostable polymerase, (ii). E. coli DNA pol I, (iii). Klenow fragment, (iv). T4 DNA polymerase. Currently, claims 9-21 and 28 are generic.
- 5. Species C: a). digoxigenin-dUTP, b). biotin, c). calorimetric, d). fluorescent, e). chemiliminescent, f). electrochemiluminescent signal, g). a radioactive component. Currently, claims 9-23, 26, 29 and 39 are generic.
- 6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.
- 7. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,620 Page 4

Art Unit: 1648

V.

8. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 9. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 10. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- 11. Inventions of groups II-VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to different methods, which use different modes, e.g. the method of Group II is directed a method of detecting the presence of EB virus DNA in the sample comprising contacting the sample with one hybridization probe, whereas the method of group III is directed to another method for amplification of the EB virus with a pair of primers, and further contacting the sample with one hybridization probe.
- 12. Inventions group I and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, such as an antibody or antigen detection, rather using a primer to do the PCR reaction.
- 13. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, the restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1648

Vz,

14. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 15. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao Qun Li whose telephone number is 703-305-1695. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 to 4:00.
- 17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 703-308-4027. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.
- 18. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Bao Qun Li

July 7, 2003

Navgent